Statement of Investment Principles 2015/16

Statement of Investment Principles

1. Overall Responsibility

The County Council is the designated statutory body responsible for administering the Surrey Pension Fund on behalf of the constituent Scheduled and Admitted Bodies. The Council is responsible for setting investment policy, appointing suitable persons to implement that policy and carrying out regular reviews and monitoring of investments. The content of this Statement reflects the County Council's compliance with the requirements of the Myners Review of Institutional Investment, which can be found at Annex 2.

The Local Government Pension Scheme (England and Wales) (Amendment) (No 2) Regulations 2005 came into effect on 14 December 2005. The Regulations provide the statutory framework within which LGPS administering authorities are required to publish a governance policy statement.

A copy of the Surrey Pension Fund's current governance policy statement can be found on the County Council's website. **www.surreypensionfund.org**

Responsibility and governance for the Pension Fund, including investment strategy, fund administration, liability management corporate governance is delegated to the Surrey Pension Fund Committee, which is made up of:

- six nominated members of the County Council;
- two representatives from the Borough/District Councils nominated by the Surrey Local Government Association;
- one representative from the external employers;
- one representative of the members of the Fund.

The Pension Fund Committee is advised by a representative of the Fund's professional investment advisor, an independent advisor, the Director of Finance and the Strategic Finance Manager (Pension Fund and Treasury). The Pension Fund Committee meets on a quarterly basis.

Assisting, monitoring and scrutiny are delegated to the Local Pension Board, which is made up of:

- four employer representatives;
- four employee representatives;
- two independent representatives.

The Local Pension Board is advised by the Director of Finance and the Senior Specialist Advisor.

The Local Pension Board meets on a half yearly basis.

2. Investment Objectives

The Pension Fund Committee seeks to ensure that the Pension Fund has sufficient assets to be able to meets its long term obligations to pay pensions to the Fund's members, i.e., over the long term to be at or above a 100% funding level. It also has an objective to maintain employer contribution rates as reasonably stable and affordable as possible. In order to meet these objectives, a number of secondary objectives have been agreed:

- To have a clearly articulated strategy for achieving and maintaining a fully funded position over a suitable long term time horizon; the Committee recognises that funding levels can be volatile from year to year depending as they do both on investment market levels and on estimates of liability values, so the long-term strategy needs to be capable of steering a steady course through changing market environments.
- ii) To have a strategic asset allocation that is both well diversified and expected to provide long term investment returns in excess of the anticipated rise in the Fund's liabilities.
- iii) To appoint managers that the Committee believes can consistently achieve the performance objectives set and to give each appointed manager a clearly defined benchmark and performance objective against which they can be judged.
- iv) To ensure investment risk is monitored regularly both in absolute terms (the risk of losing money) and relative to the Fund's liabilities (the risk of funding shortfalls); the Committee will have regard to best practice in managing risk.
- v) To have sufficient liquid resources available to meet the Fund's ongoing obligations.
- vi) To achieve an overall Fund return 1% per annum in excess of the overall benchmark over rolling three-year periods.

3. Investment Style and Management

The Committee has delegated day-to-day management of various parts of the Fund to external fund managers each of which has been given an explicit benchmark and performance objective. The Committee retains responsibility for ensuring the mix of managers and by implication the overall asset allocation is suitable for the long-term objectives defined above.

The Committee has appointed two different types of manager: 'Index Relative' who seek to achieve a return relative to a market index within a specified asset type and 'Absolute Return' who seek to achieve a desired return outcome by moving between different asset types.

Index Relative managers

The managers in this category have been set differing performance targets and will take accordingly differing levels of risk relative to the benchmark index they are given.

Passive mandates seek to replicate the market index as closely as possible and are expected to take very little relative risk. Typically, such portfolios will have the largest number of individual holdings each of which will be close to the index weighting. The expected performance should be within 0.5% of the index return in any year.

Core active mandates seek to achieve a performance between 0.75% per annum and 2% per annum ahead of the relevant market index. Typically, core active mandates have diversified portfolios and take medium levels of relative risk. Most managers will only be appointed to manage a single asset class (for example, global equities, bonds or property).

Concentrated active mandates seek to outperform their relevant index by 3% per annum or more and take larger relative risks by owning a smaller number of individual holdings. The Pension Fund Committee usually confines such mandates to specialist managers in regional equities.

Absolute Return managers

The managers in this category are all expected to achieve returns well ahead of cash or inflation in the long-term.

Diversified Growth managers use a very broad range of asset classes and actively vary allocations between asset types depending on investment market conditions. They will also use derivatives from time to time to limit the scope for large falls in value. The expected returns from such mandates will be close to the long term return from equity markets but with much less volatility.

Absolute return managers also seek to achieve good long term returns with dampened down volatility, but typically they are focused on a particular investment area. The desired outcome is similar to Diversified Growth mandates but with possibly greater variability across mandate types and usually with a much smaller amount invested in each capability.

Fees

The level of fees paid to managers varies greatly according to the complexity of the mandate and the geographic area involved. Fees are usually expressed as a proportion of assets under management. There may also be additional performance related fee charges. Fees for passive mandates tend to be very low whereas fees are higher for active mandates that require greater manager skill. Typically a concentrated active mandate will have a higher fee rate than a core active manager and a small absolute return mandate will have a higher fee rate than a larger diversified growth mandate.

Current Asset Allocation And Manager Structure

	Category	Allocation Policy %	Fund %	Review Range% +/-
Equities			63.0	+/-3.0
UK			29.0	
Legal and General	Passive	10.0		
Majedie	Concentrated Active	11.0		
UBS	Core Active	8.0		
Overseas			34.0	
Legal and General	Passive	14.0		
Marathon	Concentrated Active	12.0		
Newton	Core Active	8.0		
Property			6.5	+/-3.0
CBRE	Core Active	6.5		
Alternatives			12.0	+/-3.0
Standard Life	Diversified growth	8.0		
Baillie Gifford	Diversified growth	4.0		
Bonds			18.5	+/-3.0
Index linked gilts			5.8	
Legal and General	Passive	5.8		
Investment Grade Credit			5.5	
Western	Core Active	5.5		
Total Return			2.6	
Franklin Templeton	Unconstrained	2.6		
Multi Asset Credit			4.6	
Western	Unconstrained	4.6		
Total			100.0	

The Fund also has a commitment to invest up to 5% of the fund in private equity. This allocation is achieved by investing both in fund of funds and direct funds, managed by a number of private equity specialists. The investments are funded through cash flow. The Pension Fund Committee reviews the private equity strategy on an annual basis and makes commitments in order to achieve the target commitment level of 5% of the Fund.

Fees paid to managers vary due to the levels of risk taken and the geographic areas in which the manager is invested. Fees are generally expressed as a proportion of assets under management. Performance fees are in place for a number of the Fund's managers. The following table shows the Fund's private equity investments as at 31 March 2013.

Name	Currency	Inception	Commitment
UK Funds			£/€/\$m
HG Capital MUST 3	£	2001	2.0
HG Capital MUST 4	£	2002	3.0
HG Capital 5	£ £ £	2006	10.0
HG Capital 6	£	2009	10.0
HG Capital 7	£	2013	15.0
ISIS II	£	1999-2002	12.0
ISIS III	£	2003	14.0
ISIS IV	£	2007	15.0
ISIS Growth Fund	£	2013	10.0
Darwin Property Fund	£	2013	20.0
Euro Fund of Funds			
Standard Life ESP II	€	2004	10.0
Standard Life ESP 2006	€	2006	15.0
Standard Life ESP 2008		2008	15.0
Standard Life ESF	€ \$ \$	2011	17.5
Standard Life SOF I	\$	2013	20.0
Standard Life SOF II	\$	2014	20.0
US Fund of Funds			
Blackrock Div PEP I	\$	2001	5.0
Blackrock Div PEP II	Š	2003	5.0
Blackrock Div EP III	\$	2005	17.5
GSAM PEP 2000	\$	2000	10.0
GSAM PEP 2004	\$	2004	10.0
GSAM PEP 2005	\$	2006	17.0
GSAM PEP X	\$	2008	18.0
GSAM PEP XI	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2011	18.0
GSAM Vintage Fund VI	\$	2013	20.0
US Funds			
Capital Dynamics US Solar Fund	\$ \$	2011	25.0
Capital Dynamics Energy/Infra	\$	2013	25.0

4. Policy on Kinds of Investment

The Pension Fund Committee, having regard to funding levels, cash needs and risk tolerance, determines the overall Fund asset mix. The following table shows the strategic asset allocation benchmark for both the managed Fund (i.e. excluding private equity) and the total fund:

	Target Allocation	Target Allocation inc.
D 1	exc. Private Equity	Private Equity
Bonds	%	
Multi Asset Credit	4.6	4.4
Investment Grade Credit	5.5	5.3
Index-Linked gilts	5.8	5.5
Unconstrained	2.6	2.4
Property	6.5	6.2
Total Bonds/Property	25.0	23.8
UK Equity	29.0	27.5
Overseas Equity	34.0	32.3
Global	30.0	28.5
Emerging markets	4.0	3.8
Total Equity	63.0	59.8
Diversified Growth	12.0	11.4
Private Equity	n/a	5.0
TOTAL	100.0	100.0

Acceptable asset classes are:

- UK Equities
- UK Fixed Interest
- UK Index Linked Gilts
- UK Property through pooled funds
- Overseas Equities, major classes being:
 - North America
 - o Europe
 - o Pacific Rim including Japan
 - o Emerging Markets
- Global Bonds
- Overseas Index Linked Stocks
- Unquoted Equities via Pooled Funds
- Emerging Market Equities via Pooled Funds, unless specifically authorised
- Direct investment in private equity funds or fund of funds

The use of derivatives and other financial instruments is permitted within pre-agreed limits for specific purposes such as asset allocation switches and currency hedging. Underwriting is permitted provided that the underlying stock is suitable on investment grounds and complies with existing investment criteria.

Stock lending is permitted. The Pension Fund Committee approved Northern Trust's appointment to operate the Pension Fund's lending programme in order to generate an additional income stream for the Pension Fund within approved risk parameters.

There are statutory limits on the proportion of the Fund that can be invested in certain types of investment as determined by the Local Government Pension Scheme (Management and Investment of Funds) (Amendment) Regulations 2013.

5. Investment Performance Targets and Benchmarks

Manager	Portfolio	Benchmark Index	Performance Target
UBS	UK Equities	FTSE All Share	+2.0% p.a. (gross of fees) over rolling 3-year periods
Marathon	Global Equities	MSCI AC World	+2.0% p.a. (gross of fees) over rolling 3-year periods
Majedie	UK Equities – Long Only	FTSE All Share	+2.5% p.a. (gross of fees) over rolling 3-year periods
	UK Equities – Directional Long/Short	FTSE All Share	Absolute return focused, but aims to out-perform the FTSE All Share Index by an unspecified amount over the long term
Newton	Global Equities	MSCI AC World	+2.0% p.a. (gross of fees) over rolling 3-year periods
Western	Investment Grade Credit	100.0%: Merrill Lynch Sterling Non-Gilts Index	+0.75% p.a. (gross of fees) over rolling 3-year periods
	Multi Asset Credit	Total return benchmark	+5% to 7% per annum over the market cycle
Franklin Templeton	Unconstrained Global Fixed Income	Barclays Multiverse Index	+4% to 7% p.a. (gross of fees) over rolling 3-year periods
LGIM	Multi-Asset Equities and Bonds N - UK Equity Index RX - World (ex UK) Dev Equity Index HN – World Emerging Markets Equity Index CN - AAA-AA-A Bonds - All Stocks Index	FTSE All Share FTSE AW – Dev'd World (ex UK) FTSW AW – All Emerging Markit iBoxx GBP Non Gilts ex BBB All stock	To track the performance of the respective indices within a lower level of tracking deviation (gross of fees) over rolling 3-year periods

	Index-Linked Gilts	Portfolio of single stock funds structured by reference to Fund liabilities	
CBRE	Property	IPD UK All Balanced Funds	+0.5% p.a. (gross of fees) over rolling 3-year periods
Baillie Gifford	Diversified Growth	UK Base Rate	+3.5% p.a. (net of fees) over rolling 3-year periods
Standard Life	Diversified Growth 70:30 GARS:GFS	6 month LIBOR	+5.75% p.a. (gross of fees) over rolling 3-year periods
Internal	Private Equity	MSCI World Index	+5% p.a. (net of fees) over the life of the contract
Internal	Cash	LIBID 7-day rate	LIBID 7 day rate

The overriding aim is to run the Pension Fund in accordance within the relevant legislation and subject to the following performance target: "to outperform the Surrey benchmark by 1% per annum over rolling 3-year periods, with a maximum underperformance of -2% in any one year."

The overall Surrey benchmark is shown below in detail.

Type of funds	Level of Risk	Target Return Out-Performance p.a.
Passive (index-tracker)	Low	0 – 0.5%
Core Active	Medium	0.75% - 2.0%
Concentrated Active	High	2.0% - 2.5%
Diversified growth	Medium	3.5% - 5%
Unconstrained	Medium	4% - 7%
Total	Medium	1%

The performance target for the private equity Funds is to outperform returns on quoted UK Equities (FTSE All Share Index) by 2% per annum.

6 Risk Measurement and Management

There are a number of risks to which any investment is exposed. The Pension Fund Committee recognises that, whilst increasing risk increases potential returns over a long period, it also increases the risk of a shortfall in returns relative to that required to cover the Fund's liabilities as well as producing more short term volatility in the funding position.

In addition to targeting an appropriate overall level of investment risk, the Pension Fund Committee seeks to spread risks across a range of different sources, believing that diversification limits the impact of any single risk. The Pension Fund Committee aims to take on those risks for which a reward, in the form of excess returns, is expected over time.

The following risks are recognised and considered by the Pension Fund Committee:

Mismatch risk: the primary risk upon which the Pension Fund Committee focuses is the arising of a mismatch between the Fund's assets and its liabilities.

Sponsor Covenant risk: the financial capacity and willingness of the sponsoring employers to support the Fund is a key consideration of the Pension Fund Committee and is reviewed on a regular basis.

Diversification risk: the Pension Fund Committee recognises the risks that may arise from the lack of diversification of investments. Subject to managing the risk from a mismatch of assets and liabilities, the Pension Fund Committee aims to ensure that the asset allocation policy results in an adequately diversified portfolio.

Concentration risk: the Pension Fund Committee is also aware of concentration risk which arises, for example, when a high proportion of the Fund's assets are invested in securities, whether debt or equity, of the same or related issuers or in the same or similar industry sectors. The overall investment arrangements are intended to provide an appropriate spread of assets by type and spread of individual securities within each asset class.

Liquidity risk: the Pension Fund Committee recognises that there is liquidity risk in holding assets that are not readily marketable and realisable. Given the long term investment horizon, the Pension Fund Committee believes that a degree of liquidity risk is acceptable, given the potential return. The majority of the Fund's assets are realisable at short notice.

Manager risk: the Fund's assets are invested with a number of managers to provide appropriate diversification.

Regulatory and political risk: across all of the Fund's investments, there is the potential for adverse regulatory or political change. Regulatory risk arises from investing in a market environment where the regulatory regime may change. This may be compounded by political risk in those environments subject to unstable regimes. The Pension Fund Committee will attempt to invest in a manner which seeks to minimise the impact of any such regulatory or political change should such a change occur.

Exchange rate risk: this risk arises from unhedged investment overseas. The Fund has a currency hedging policy in place: 50% of its exposure to the US dollar, Euro and Yen.

The documents governing the appointment of each investment manager include a number of guidelines which, among other things, are designed to ensure that only suitable investments are held by the Fund. The Investment Managers are prevented from investing in asset classes outside their mandate without the Pension Fund Committee's prior consent.

Arrangements are in place to monitor the Fund's investments to help the Pension Fund Committee check that nothing has occurred that would bring into question the continuing suitability of the current investments. To facilitate this, the Pension Fund Committee meets with the Investment Managers from time to time, and receives regular reviews from the Investment Managers and its investment advisors.

The safe custody of the Fund's assets is delegated to professional custodians (either directly or via the use of pooled vehicles).

Should there be a material change in the Fund's circumstances, the Pension Fund Committee will review whether and to what extent the investment arrangements should be altered; in particular whether the current risk exposure remains appropriate.

7 Policy on Balance Between Different Kinds of Investment

The Council has set target asset allocation ranges for each kind of investment within the overall benchmark. Fund Managers are required to report quarterly their current country, sector or asset allocation positions, whichever is relevant, against their strategy, and to seek approval for variations to their strategies.

8 Policy on Realisation of Investments

Fund Managers are required to maintain portfolios that consist of assets that are readily realisable. Any investment within an in-house or pooled fund, which is not readily tradable, requires specific approval.

9 Monitoring and Review

The target funding level is set triennially, consequent upon the actuarial review. The statutory requirement is to move towards 100% funding over a period of time, agreed with the Fund Actuary as the average expected future working lifetime of the scheme membership (20 years).

Investment strategy will be reviewed annually, with a major review taking place no later than every five years. The SIP will also be reviewed annually. A review of investment management arrangements is carried out at least every three years.

Investment management performance is reviewed annually upon receipt of the third party performance information. The individual manager's current activity and transactions are presented quarterly in discussion with the Pension Fund Committee.

An Annual Meeting is held in November each year and is open to all Fund employers.

10 Stewardship and Responsible Investment

The Council wishes to have an active influence on issues of environmental, social or governance (ESG) concern with companies in which the Pension Fund is a shareholder. It will seek to codify its approach with Fund Managers and will use the services of specialist agencies as necessary to identify issues of concern. The Council requires the Fund Managers to take into account the implications of substantial "extra-financial" considerations, e.g., ESG or reputational issues that could bring a particular investment decision into the public arena.

Whilst the Fund has no specific policy on investing or divesting in stock with regard to ESG issues, in comparing potential investment decisions, and where differences in predicted returns are deemed immaterial, external fund managers could deploy ESG considerations in deciding upon selection.

The Pension Fund also holds expectations of its fund managers to hold companies to account on the highest standards of behaviour and reputational risk management which may damage long term performance, and for those issues to be part of their stock selection criteria.

The Fund wishes to be an active shareholder and exercise its voting rights to promote and support good corporate governance principles. Share voting is undertaken in-house, after consultation with fund managers, and consultation with the Pension Fund Committee on potentially contentious issues. A quarterly report will be posted to the Fund website.

The Fund is a member of the Local Authority Pension Fund Forum (LAPFF), a membership group of LGPS funds that campaigns on corporate governance issues, thus demonstrating a commitment to sustainable investment and the promotion of high standards of corporate governance and responsibility.

11 Custody

Managers are required to hold cash and stocks in an account managed by Northern Trust, the Fund's independent global custodian, or by agreement otherwise as appropriate. The Pension Fund aims to hold only a minimum working cash balance. A separate bank account is in place to hold any excess funds held by the administering authority for the purpose of day-to-day cash management of the pension fund.

12 Administration

Funds officers prepare a quarterly report to the Pension Fund Committee, preparing the audited annual report and financial statements in line with statutory deadlines, and maintain an up to date record of cash balances at Surrey to ensure surplus cash is invested promptly and resources are available to meet the benefit outflow as it arises.

Myners Investment Principles – Compliance Statement

Principle 1: Effective Decision-making

Administering authorities should ensure that:

- decisions are taken by persons or organisations with the skills, knowledge, advice and resources necessary to make them effectively and monitor their implementation; and
- those persons or organisations have sufficient expertise to be able to evaluate and challenge the advice they receive, and manage conflicts of interest.

√ Full compliance

The Pension Fund Committee and Local Pension Board are supported in their decision making/assisting roles by the Director of Finance, the Strategic Finance Manager (Pension Fund and Treasury) and the Senior Specialist Advisor.

Members of both the Committee and Local Pension Board participate in regular training delivered through a formal programme. Training is provided at every quarterly meeting.

Principle 2: Clear Objectives

An overall investment objective should be set out for the fund that takes account of the scheme's liabilities, the potential impact on local taxpayers, the strength of the covenant for non-local authority employers, and the attitude to risk of both the administering authority and scheme employers, and these should be clearly communicated to advisors and investment managers.

✓ Full compliance

The Fund's overall objectives are defined in the Funding Strategy Statement and are directly linked to the triennial actuarial valuation. The investment objectives are clearly stated in the Statement of Investment Principles.

The content of the Funding Strategy Statement reflects discussions held with individual scheme employers during the actuarial valuation process. Employers understand that contribution rates are set, having given consideration to the key tenets of affordability, sustainability and stability but also with the understanding that any decisions made must be prudent. To this end, the strength of the employer covenant is considered when setting contribution rates.

Principle 3: Risk and liabilities

In setting and reviewing their investment strategy, administering authorities should take account of the form and structure of liabilities. These include the implications for the local taxpayers, the strength of the covenant for participating employers, the risk of their default and longevity risk.

✓ Full compliance

The Fund's actuary reviews the funding position of each employer every three years and this valuation includes an assessment of the gap between the employer's share of the Fund assets and the liabilities specific to each employer. The strength of the employer covenant is considered when setting contribution rates.

The Fund's investment strategy is reviewed following each triennial valuation to ensure that the investment strategy will achieve the expected returns assumed during the valuation process.

As a member of Club Vita, a bespoke set of assumptions are specifically tailored to fit the membership profile of the Surrey Fund. The assumptions selected are intended to make an appropriate allowance for future improvements in longevity, based on the actual experience of the Fund.

Principle 4: Performance assessment

Arrangements should be in place for the formal measurement of performance of the investments, investment managers and advisors.

Administering authorities should also periodically make a formal assessment of their own effectiveness as a decision-making body and report on this to scheme members.

√ Full compliance

Each manager's performance is measured quarterly against benchmark targets, which are specified in the contract between the Fund and the manager. The Fund's global custodian produces performance data for each manager and for the Fund as a whole. The target outperformance for the Fund as a whole is specified within the Statement of Investment Principles. The Fund performance is also assessed with reference to the local authority peer group.

Performance data is reported to Pension Fund Committee on a quarterly basis. Fund managers present to the officers or the Pension Fund Committee on at least an annual basis and officers hold four additional meetings with managers per quarter to discuss the portfolio composition, strategy and performance.

Consideration has been given to quantitative measures to assess the performance of the Pension Fund Committee, although options other than measuring meeting attendance and the success of the Committee's implemented strategies are limited.

Principle 5: Responsible ownership

Administering authorities should:

- Adopt, or ensure their investment managers adopt, the Stewardship Code.
- Include a statement of their policy on responsible ownership in the statement of investment principles.
- Report periodically to scheme members on the discharge of such responsibilities.

✓ Full compliance

All new investment mandates will be expected to include a statement of a manager's adoption of the Stewardship Code.

The Council wishes to have an active influence on issues of environmental or ethical concern with companies in which the Pension Fund is a shareholder. It will seek to codify its approach with Fund Managers and will use the services of specialist agencies as necessary to identify issues of concern. The Council requires the Fund Managers to take into account the implications of substantial "extra-financial" considerations, e.g., environmental, social or reputational issues that could bring a particular investment decision into the public arena.

The Fund wishes to be an active shareholder and exercise its voting rights to promote and support good corporate governance principles. In addition, the Fund is a member of the Local Authority Pension Fund Forum (LAPFF), thus demonstrating a commitment to sustainable investment and the promotion of high standards of corporate governance and responsibility.

All of the Fund's managers are signed up to the Stewardship Code, which provides a framework for investors to consider environmental, social and corporate governance issues when making investment decisions.

Principle 6: Transparency and reporting

Administering authorities should:

- Act in a transparent manner, communicating with stakeholders on issues relating to their management of investments, its governance and risks, including performance against stated objectives
- Provide regular communication to scheme members in the form they consider most appropriate

✓ Full compliance

The Fund's annual report includes all of the Fund's policies including the governance policy statement, governance policy compliance statement, communications policy statement, responsible investment and stewardship policy, funding strategy statement and statement of investment principles. The annual report can be found on the council's website together with standalone versions of each of these documents.

Quarterly reports to the Pension Fund Committee and half yearly reports to the Local Pension Board on the management of the Fund's investments are publicly available on the council's committee administration website.

Pensions newsletters are sent to all Fund members.